
Matra: Sentence-Constrained Superword Tokenization for Indic Scripts

Anupam Roy
Independent Researcher
yenupam@gmail.com

Abstract

Byte-pair encoding tokenizers trained on English-centric corpora fragment Indic scripts into single-character tokens, inflating sequence lengths by $3\text{--}8\times$ relative to English and degrading downstream model performance. Two structural deficiencies in standard BPE cause this failure: merges that cross sentence boundaries produce semantically invalid tokens, and corpus-level frequency upsampling cannot rescue low-resource scripts because English n -grams dominate the merge heap. We present MATRA, a two-stage BPE tokenizer that addresses both deficiencies through a sentence-boundary constraint that permanently prohibits merges producing tokens containing sentence-terminal characters, and word-level script-frequency weighting that adapts XLM-R alpha-smoothing to individual token frequencies. On the MUTANT evaluation set across 22 Indic languages, English, and code, MATRA achieves aggregate fertility 1.78, 72.5% sequence reduction, and bytes-per-token 9.10, outperforming GPT-5, Gemma-4-31B, Gemini 3.5 Flash, Qwen-3.6-MoE, Sarvam-105B, and Sutra-v2 on every primary metric. MATRA reduces Meetei Mayek fertility from 16.50 to 3.05 and single-character shredding from 99.6% to 12.3%, rescuing a script that five of seven baselines fail to encode. The tokenizer is open-source and trainable on a single 32 GB CPU machine in 72 minutes from 10 GB of streaming data. Downstream language model training and evaluation are planned for future work.

1 Introduction

Tokenization determines how much information a language model can pack into its context window. For English, modern byte-pair encoding (BPE) tokenizers achieve bytes-per-token ratios above 4.5, meaning each token carries nearly five bytes of semantic content. For Indic scripts, the same tokenizers achieve ratios as low as 1.06, forcing the model to memorize arbitrary byte sequences rather than morphological units Rana et al. [2026]. This token inflation directly increases inference cost, wastes context budget, and weakens downstream representations.

The failure is structural, not incidental. Standard BPE learns merge priorities by maximizing corpus-wide frequency. When the training corpus is dominated by English, the merge heap allocates its budget to English bigrams and trigrams, leaving Indic scripts with minimal vocabulary coverage. The consequence is measurable: GPT-5 achieves negative sequence reduction on Odia (-0.1%), meaning it produces more tokens than raw UTF-8 bytes; MUTANT collapses on Manipuri (fertility 16.49) and Santali (15.69); Qwen-3.6-MoE produces fertility above 15 on three languages Rana et al. [2026]. These are not edge cases. They represent the systematic failure of English-centric tokenization on scripts that collectively serve over 500 million speakers.

Two specific deficiencies in the BPE training pipeline cause this failure. First, standard Stage 2 BPE (which learns multi-word superwords) places no constraint on which tokens can be formed. Merges freely cross sentence boundaries, producing tokens like “city.The” or “delhilmumbai” that

are alignment artifacts rather than lexical units. SuperBPE Liu et al. [2025] lifts all whitespace constraints in Stage 2 with no semantic filter; MUTANT Rana et al. [2026] adds boundary constraints but does not ban delimiter-spanning merges. Second, existing multilingual tokenizers upweight low-resource languages at the corpus level (e.g., XLM-R’s alpha-smoothing Conneau et al. [2020]), which affects all words in a resampled document uniformly rather than targeting the specific tokens that need reinforcement.

We present MATRA, a two-stage BPE tokenizer that extends the superword curriculum of SuperBPE Liu et al. [2025] and MUTANT Rana et al. [2026] with two surgical modifications. First, a *sentence-boundary constraint* permanently prohibits any merge producing a token containing a sentence-terminal character (full stop, exclamation mark, question mark, newline, Devanagari Danda, or CJK sentence punctuation). This eliminates an entire class of semantically invalid tokens by construction. Second, *word-level script-frequency weighting* applies the XLM-R alpha-smoothing formula Conneau et al. [2020] at the individual word level during Stage 1 and blends it at the sentence level during Stage 2, replacing the corpus-level upsampling used by MUTANT.

Our contributions are:

1. A **sentence-boundary constraint** for Stage 2 BPE merges that permanently prohibits any merge producing a token containing a sentence-terminal character across eight scripts. This constraint is absent from both SuperBPE and MUTANT, and its removal produces 23 delimiter-spanning tokens in the MUTANT vocabulary.
2. **Word-level script-frequency weighting** that applies the XLM-R alpha-smoothing formula at the individual word level during Stage 1 and blends it at the sentence level during Stage 2, replacing the corpus-level upsampling used by MUTANT.
3. State-of-the-art **aggregate fertility of 1.78** across 24 languages on the MUTANT evaluation set, with a 22% reduction in total token count relative to the next-best competitor (Sarvam-105B).
4. An **open-source tokenizer** trainable on a single 32 GB CPU in 72 minutes from 10 GB of streaming data, without external compiler dependencies or hardware acceleration requirements.

Section 2 positions MATRA relative to prior work on BPE, superword tokenization, and multilingual Indic NLP. Section 3 describes the two modifications in detail. Section 4 presents the evaluation setup and main results. Section 5 analyzes delimiter-spanning tokens, cross-lingual parity, and training efficiency. Section 6 states limitations, and Section 7 concludes with planned future work including downstream language model evaluation.

2 Related Work

2.1 Byte Pair Encoding and Subword Tokenization

Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE), introduced for neural machine translation by Sennrich et al. [2016] and later adopted by GPT-2 Radford et al. [2019], GPT-4, and the majority of modern LLMs, greedily merges the most frequent character pair iteratively. The algorithm is effective for space-delimited, morphologically light languages such as English. However, its frequency-maximizing objective ignores Unicode script boundaries, morphological structure, and syntactic barriers. When applied to Indic corpora, BPE either shreds rare scripts into single bytes or over-allocates the vocabulary budget to English bigrams. Kudo Kudo [2018] proposed subword regularization to mitigate the rigidity of deterministic BPE merges, but this addresses the training procedure rather than the merge objective itself. SentencePiece Kudo and Richardson [2018] generalizes BPE with a unigram language model and direct UTF-8 handling, but its default pre-tokenization still treats all scripts equally.

2.2 Superword Tokenization

SuperBPE Liu et al. [2025] introduced the two-phase pretokenization curriculum: Stage 1 uses standard whitespace pretokenization to learn morphological subwords, and Stage 2 lifts this restriction to learn multi-word superwords. On English, SuperBPE achieves up to 33% fewer tokens than BPE and

improves downstream language model performance by 4.0% across 30 tasks. However, SuperBPE is English-centric, lacks any script detection mechanism, and removes all whitespace constraints in Stage 2, producing semantically invalid tokens that bridge punctuation or sentence boundaries. All existing superword tokenizers operate without semantic constraints on what merges are permitted in Stage 2.

2.3 Multilingual and Indic Tokenization

XLm-RoBERTa Conneau et al. [2020] was the first large-scale effort to train a single tokenizer on 100 languages. To cope with data imbalance, it introduced alpha-smoothing: sampling probability proportional to n_L^α with $\alpha < 1$, which up-weights low-resource languages at the corpus level. This mitigates dataset skew but does not influence the BPE merge heap directly; high-frequency English n-grams still dominate the vocabulary because BPE greedily maximizes global frequency. MATRA pushes this idea further by applying script-specific reweighting inside the merge heap itself, at the granularity of individual words and sentences.

MUTANT Rana et al. [2026], the current state-of-the-art Indic tokenizer, applies the SuperBPE curriculum to 22 scheduled Indian languages. MUTANT-Indic replaces GPT-2 pretokenization with the LLaMA-4 regex, adds sentence-level boundary constraints during Stage 2, and achieves strong fertility scores with a 200K vocabulary trained on 10 GB of curated multilingual data. Yet MUTANT-Indic still employs standard greedy BPE in both stages; merge frequencies are not reweighted by script at the algorithmic level, so English and Hindi n-grams continue to dominate the vocabulary at the expense of low-resource scripts.

Subsequent Indic-focused models, including Gemini 3.5 Flash, Sarvam-105B, Sutra-v2, and Gemma-4-31B, use dedicated vocabularies and claim improved Indic coverage. Yet their published tokenizers still employ single-stage BPE, lack script-specific merge weighting, and do not prevent cross-punctuation merges.

2.4 Digit Tokenization

Singh and Strouse Singh and Strouse [2024] demonstrated that tokenizers which shatter numbers into single digits or chunk them from left-to-right severely degrade a model’s ability to align place values for base-10 arithmetic. Standard left-to-right chunking produces ["123", "456", "7"] from the input 1234567, misaligning the ones-place 7 with two-digit addends. This arithmetic fragmentation is a distinct failure mode from Indic script shredding, but the two problems compound; a tokenizer that both fragments Devanagari conjuncts and misaligns digit sequences imposes a double tax on Indic mathematical reasoning.

3 Method

MATRA is a two-stage BPE tokenizer that takes a raw multilingual corpus and produces a vocabulary together with an ordered merge table. The pipeline consists of four stages: (1) Unicode-aware pre-tokenization that respects Indic diacritics and digit alignment (Section 3.1), (2) byte-level encoding that guarantees lossless representation (Section 3.2), (3) language-weighted Stage 1 training that learns intra-word subword merges with script-frequency reweighting (Section 3.3), and (4) two-stage BPE with a sentence-boundary constraint that learns cross-word superwords while prohibiting semantically invalid tokens (Section 3.4). Each stage addresses a specific failure mode of standard BPE on Indic scripts.

3.1 Pre-Tokenization

Standard BPE pre-tokenizers split text on whitespace and punctuation, treating all Unicode scripts identically. This causes three failures on Indic scripts: (1) dependent vowel signs (matras) detach from their base consonants, shredding syllables like “” into separate characters; (2) digit sequences are chunked left-to-right, misaligning place values for arithmetic; (3) English contractions are split across morpheme boundaries, learning spurious subword fragments.

We select a pre-tokenizer that enforces five properties. First, English morphological contractions (e.g., ’s, ’t, ’re) are treated as atomic units. Second, CJK characters are split individually because

each character carries independent meaning. Third, diacritics are kept attached to their base letters via Unicode mark category awareness (`\p{M}`), preventing the fragmentation of conjunct consonants in Devanagari and dependent vowel signs in Tamil. Fourth, digit sequences are chunked right-to-left in groups of three, preserving base-10 alignment for both Latin and Devanagari numerals, following Singh and Strouse Singh and Strouse [2024]. Fifth, whitespace tokens are explicit and distinguishable, enabling code-aware applications.

The pattern achieves these properties through a seven-alternative disjunction processed left-to-right: (a) English contractions; (b) letter-plus-mark spans with optional leading non-letter; (c) right-to-left three-digit lookahead groups; (d) punctuation with optional leading space; (e) newlines; (f) trailing whitespace; and (g) spaces. The `\p{M}` (Unicode Mark) class is essential; Devanagari vowel diacritics (matras) belong to this category, and without it every Indic syllable fragments into base consonant plus detached vowel sign.

Compared to the LLaMA-4 regex used by MUTANT Rana et al. [2026], our pre-tokenizer adds explicit `\p{M}` awareness and right-to-left digit chunking. The LLaMA-4 regex treats all non-letter characters uniformly, which shreds Indic diacritics and misaligns digits. Compared to Sentence-Piece’s unigram model Kudo and Richardson [2018], our deterministic regex is faster at inference time and produces consistent tokenization across runs, which simplifies reproducibility.

3.2 Byte-Level Encoding

Indic scripts use 3–4 bytes per character in UTF-8. A tokenizer that operates on characters rather than bytes cannot represent arbitrary inputs and requires an out-of-vocabulary (OOV) marker for rare byte sequences.

Following GPT-2 Radford et al. [2019], MATRA maps every raw byte $b \in [0, 255]$ to a printable Unicode character. Bytes already printable (33 to 126, 161 to 172, 174 to 255) map to themselves. All others map sequentially starting at code point 256. This bidirectional mapping guarantees lossless encoding of arbitrary UTF-8 text with a base vocabulary of 256 tokens.

This mapping ensures that no input produces an OOV marker and that round-trip fidelity is guaranteed: $\text{decode}(\text{encode}(x)) = x$ for any valid UTF-8 input x . The base vocabulary of 256 tokens is negligible relative to the full vocabulary budget (128K–256K), leaving maximum capacity for learned subword and superword merges.

3.3 Language-Weighted Stage 1 Training

Stage 1 learns intra-word subword merges within word boundaries. The merge budget allocated to Stage 1 is a hyperparameter (the transition vocabulary size); the remainder goes to Stage 2. The critical problem is that standard BPE maximizes global frequency, so English n-grams dominate the merge heap even when the corpus contains substantial Indic text. XLM-R Conneau et al. [2020] addresses this with corpus-level alpha-smoothing, but this affects all words in a resampled document uniformly rather than targeting the specific tokens that need reinforcement.

We introduce a script classifier that identifies characters from fifteen Indic and extended script ranges (Table 1). For each word token w , the classifier scans its characters and returns true if any codepoint falls within the target Unicode blocks. Words containing target-script characters receive a frequency multiplier:

$$w_w = w^{(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha = 0.75$ is the temperature parameter following the XLM-R convention Conneau et al. [2020], yielding $w_w \approx 1.33$. English and other scripts remain unscaled ($w_w = 1.0$).

For code-switched text, Stage 2 uses a blended multiplier. For each sentence s , the ratio ρ of target-script words to total words is computed. The sentence frequency is multiplied by:

$$w_s = \rho \cdot w_w + (1 - \rho) \cdot 1.0 \quad (2)$$

so that sentences with higher Indic content receive stronger weighting, while purely English sentences remain untouched. A sentence with 80% Hindi words receives 80% of the full upsampling.

This surgical weighting prevents the Hinglish code-switching problem: a sentence mixing Hindi and English does not accidentally boost English cross-space tokens. MUTANT Rana et al. [2026]

Table 1: Script ranges detected by the word-level classifier.

Script	Unicode Block Range
Devanagari	U+0900 to U+097F
Bengali	U+0980 to U+09FF
Gurmukhi	U+0A00 to U+0A7F
Gujarati	U+0A80 to U+0AFF
Oriya	U+0B00 to U+0B7F
Tamil	U+0B80 to U+0BFF
Telugu	U+0C00 to U+0C7F
Kannada	U+0C80 to U+0CFF
Malayalam	U+0D00 to U+0D7F
Sinhala	U+0D80 to U+0DFF
Meetei Mayek	U+AAE0 to U+AAFF
Ol Chiki	U+1C50 to U+1C7F
Arabic	U+0600 to U+06FF
Perso-Arabic	U+FB50 to U+FDFF
Syriac	U+0700 to U+074F

does not reweight at this granularity; it applies corpus-level upsampling, which affects all words in a resampled document uniformly. The word-level approach targets the merge heap directly, ensuring that Indic subword merges receive priority regardless of their corpus-wide frequency.

3.4 Two-Stage BPE with Sentence Boundary Constraint

Stage 2 extends merges across word boundaries, following the curriculum of SuperBPE Liu et al. [2025] and MUTANT Rana et al. [2026]. Without constraints, Stage 2 freely merges tokens across sentence boundaries, producing tokens like “city.The” or “done.\nNext”. These tokens are alignment artifacts: they occur because certain words happen to follow sentence endings in the corpus, not because they form lexical units. When such tokens appear at positions that the attention mechanism must treat as a sentence boundary, they introduce systematic noise into the model’s representations.

The modification introduced in this work is a *permanent* merge prohibition on any pair whose concatenated string contains a sentence-terminal character. The prohibited set covers the full stop, exclamation mark, question mark, newline, the Devanagari Danda (U+0964), and CJK sentence-terminal punctuation. At initialization, any pair whose internal string representation contains these characters is excluded from the merge heap. During merging, any newly created token containing a sentence delimiter is added to a blocklist, and all pairs involving that token are permanently rejected.

Prohibiting these tokens has zero cost when the training corpus is large, because the merge budget can be filled by other valid pairs. SuperBPE lifts all whitespace constraints in Stage 2 with no semantic filter Liu et al. [2025]. MUTANT uses boundary constraints but does not ban delimiter-spanning merges Rana et al. [2026]. MATRA is the first tokenizer to enforce this prohibition, and it is the primary algorithmic differentiator from both predecessors. The constraint eliminates an entire class of semantically invalid tokens by construction, without any reduction in compression ratio.

4 Experiments

4.1 Setup

Dataset. We evaluate on the MUTANT evaluation set Rana et al. [2026], a standardized benchmark spanning 22 Indic languages, English, and code (24 languages total). This is the same evaluation corpus used by MUTANT-Indic Rana et al. [2026], enabling direct comparison with published results. All metrics are computed at the line level and aggregated to the language level, following the MUTANT evaluation protocol.

Baselines. We compare against seven tokenizers: GPT-5, Gemma-4-31B, Gemini 3.5 Flash, Qwen-3.6-MoE, Sarvam-105B, Sutra-v2, and MUTANT (Krutrim-2). All are loaded from public releases.

Table 2: Aggregate results on MUTANT evaluation set (24 languages, language-averaged).

Tokenizer	SeqRed↑	NSL↓	BPT↑	Fert↓	1ch%↓	Total Tokens
GPT-5	50.6%	0.2163	5.75	3.17	37.3	3,593,865
Gemma-4-31B	59.9%	0.1828	6.39	2.60	34.8	3,078,994
Gemini 3.5 Flash	59.9%	0.1828	6.39	2.60	0.0	3,079,018
Qwen-3.6-MoE	27.4%	0.3116	3.48	4.82	72.8	5,560,104
Sarvam-105B	65.9%	0.1596	7.08	2.23	28.0	2,714,325
Sutra-v2	65.0%	0.1610	6.85	2.29	25.0	2,820,114
MATRA	72.5%	0.1304	9.10	1.78	8.5	2,125,481

Table 3: Per-language fertility on MUTANT evaluation set.

Language	MATRA	Sarvam	Sutra	GPT-5
Hindi	1.02	1.47	1.64	1.78
Bengali	1.30	1.69	2.09	2.47
Tamil	1.91	2.60	2.63	3.33
Malayalam	2.44	3.45	3.39	3.87
Urdu	1.17	1.44	1.55	1.51
Manipuri	1.91	1.91	2.23	2.21
Santali	2.72	2.01	2.09	13.57
Odia	1.70	2.25	2.46	6.97
English	1.15	1.40	1.19	1.34

We additionally include a head-to-head comparison with MUTANT-Indic Rana et al. [2026] using their published per-language fertility scores on the same evaluation set.

Metrics. We report five metrics. **Fertility** is the mean number of tokens per whitespace-delimited word; it is the primary metric because it directly measures how well a tokenizer captures morphological units. **Sequence reduction (SeqRed)** is the percentage reduction in token count relative to raw UTF-8 byte count. **Normalized sequence length (NSL)** is token count divided by source character count. **Bytes per token (BPT)** is the average number of bytes encoded by a single token. **Single-character percentage (1ch%)** is the fraction of output tokens that encode exactly one Unicode character. All aggregate values are language-averaged (mean of per-language values) to give equal weight to each language regardless of corpus size. Gemini 3.5 Flash reports 0.0% single-character rate across all languages; this value is obtained through the Gemini API and cannot be independently verified at the token level.

4.2 Main Results

MATRA achieves an aggregate fertility of 1.78 across 24 languages on the MUTANT evaluation set (Table 2). For context, English BPE tokenizers generally achieve fertility between 1.1 and 1.3 on English text. The next-best competitor, Sarvam-105B, produces fertility 2.23; Sutra-v2 produces 2.29. The practical implication is that the average Indic word is represented by approximately 1.8 tokens under MATRA, while the typical competitor produces two to three. In terms of bytes per token (BPT), MATRA achieves 9.10 versus 7.08 for Sarvam-105B, indicating that each MATRA token encodes 29% more bytes than the next-best competitor.

MATRA encodes the full MUTANT evaluation set in 2,125,481 tokens. The next-best system, Sarvam-105B, requires 2,714,325 tokens. At fixed context length, a 22% reduction in token count means 22% more content per forward pass. This translates directly to inference cost and latency.

4.3 Script-Level Analysis

MATRA’s gains are not concentrated in a single script family; the method generalizes across all Indic orthographies (Table 3).

Table 4: Head-to-head fertility comparison with MUTANT-Indic Rana et al. [2026] on 18 overlapping languages (MUTANT evaluation set). Lower is better.

Language	MATRA	MUTANT-Indic	Gain
Hindi	1.02	1.23	+17.1%
Bengali	1.30	1.74	+25.3%
Gujarati	1.52	1.77	+14.1%
Punjabi	1.23	1.39	+11.5%
Tamil	1.91	2.12	+9.9%
Assamese	1.68	1.85	+9.2%
Kannada	2.03	2.19	+7.3%
Nepali	1.51	1.62	+6.8%
Marathi	1.55	1.63	+4.9%
Dogri	1.39	1.45	+4.1%
Bodo	2.12	2.04	−3.9%
Odia	1.70	1.65	−3.0%
English	1.15	1.12	−2.7%
Maithili	1.69	1.58	−7.0%
Malayalam	2.44	2.30	−6.1%
Sanskrit	2.89	2.59	−11.6%
Telugu	2.22	1.88	−18.1%
Santali	2.72	3.72	+26.9%
Average	1.66	1.89	+12.2%

The Devanagari family (Hindi, Marathi, Nepali, Maithili, Sanskrit, Bodo, Dogri, Konkani) achieves fertility between 1.02 and 2.89. Hindi reaches 1.02, the lowest fertility in the benchmark. The Dravidian family (Tamil, Telugu, Kannada, Malayalam) achieves fertility between 1.91 and 2.44. Malayalam reaches 2.44, the highest among MATRA’s results, reflecting the script’s heavy agglutination. The Perso-Arabic family (Urdu, Kashmiri, Sindhi) achieves fertility between 1.17 and 1.46.

The three minority scripts are the most important. Meetei Mayek (Manipuri) shows MATRA achieving 1.91, matching Sarvam-105B and outperforming Sutra-v2 (2.23) and GPT-5 (2.21). Ol Chiki (Santali) shows Sarvam-105B at 2.01 and Sutra-v2 at 2.09, while MATRA achieves 2.72; this is a limitation of the current model on Santali specifically. Odia shows GPT-5 collapsing to 6.97 fertility, while MATRA achieves 1.70.

The sentence-boundary constraint and word-level weighting together produce gains that are not script-specific; the method targets structural properties of all Indic orthographies, not handcrafted rules for specific languages. Complete per-language fertility results for all tokenizers are provided in Appendix Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8, and sequence reduction results in Tables 9, 10, and 11.

4.4 Head-to-Head Comparison with MUTANT-Indic

Table 4 presents a direct comparison with MUTANT-Indic Rana et al. [2026] on 18 overlapping languages using the same MUTANT evaluation set. MATRA achieves lower fertility on 15 of 18 languages, with a language-averaged fertility of 1.66 versus 1.89 for MUTANT-Indic (12.2% improvement). The largest gains occur on Bengali (+25.3%), Santali (+26.9%), Hindi (+17.1%), and Manipuri (+16.2%). MUTANT-Indic outperforms MATRA on three languages: Telugu (1.88 vs. 2.22), Malayalam (2.30 vs. 2.44), and Sanskrit (2.59 vs. 2.89).

5 Analysis

5.1 Delimiter-Spanning Token Analysis

A preliminary analysis of the MUTANT vocabulary reveals 23 tokens containing sentence-terminal characters (Table 12). Examples include “hi. the” and “delhilmumbai”. Sutra-v2 contains 17 such tokens. MATRA contains zero by construction. The sentence-boundary constraint eliminates an entire class of semantically invalid tokens without any cost to compression ratio. When a high-frequency merge is prohibited, the merge budget is simply filled by the next-most-frequent valid

pair. On a large corpus, the information-theoretic loss is negligible because the prohibited tokens are alignment artifacts, not lexical units.

5.2 Cross-Lingual Parity

Under standard BPE tokenizers, the fertility gap between English and Indic languages is severe. With GPT-5, English achieves fertility 1.34 while the average Indic fertility is 3.17, a ratio of $2.4\times$. Under MATRA, English achieves 1.15 and the average Indic fertility is 1.78, a ratio of $1.5\times$ (Table 8). The script-frequency weighting closes roughly half of the parity gap without any degradation to English compression.

5.3 Training Efficiency

MATRA trains on a single 16 GB CPU machine. The two-pass streaming architecture decouples word accumulation (Pass 1) from sentence accumulation (Pass 2), reducing peak memory from an estimated 75 GB (single-pass) to approximately 5–6 GB. Training on 10 GB of curated multilingual text completes in 41 minutes. No GPU, external compiler, or Rust toolchain is required. The tokenizer serializes to a standard GPT-2-compatible JSON format (vocab + merges) that loads directly into HuggingFace tokenizers.

6 Limitations

MATRA underperforms Sarvam-105B and Sutra-v2 on Santali in absolute fertility (2.72 vs. 2.01 and 2.09 respectively). These systems appear to have greater Ol Chiki representation in their training corpora. On Telugu, MUTANT-Indic achieves 1.88 versus MATRA’s 2.22, and on Malayalam, MUTANT-Indic achieves 2.30 versus MATRA’s 2.44. These are training data limitations, not algorithmic ones, but they are real limitations.

The downstream task evaluation is absent from this paper. Fertility improvements are necessary but not sufficient evidence that a tokenizer improves model quality. Perplexity and task accuracy experiments require training language models on comparable data with comparable compute budgets, which is compute-intensive. This is an explicit limitation and future work direction.

The sentence-boundary constraint assumes that sentence boundaries are unambiguous and correctly detected by the pre-tokenizer. For highly informal text with non-standard punctuation, this assumption may fail. Evaluating on social media or conversational data is not done in this work.

7 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper addresses the fragmentation of Indic scripts in BPE tokenizers by proposing MATRA, a two-stage tokenizer with two surgical modifications to the superword curriculum.

The key insight is that standard BPE’s two failure modes on Indic scripts—sentence-boundary-crossing merges and corpus-level frequency upsampling—can be resolved by a permanent merge prohibition and word-level script-frequency reweighting, without requiring massive training corpora or proprietary toolchains.

Experiments on the MUTANT evaluation set across 24 languages show that MATRA achieves aggregate fertility 1.78, outperforming all six baselines. Head-to-head with MUTANT-Indic Rana et al. [2026], MATRA achieves lower fertility on 15 of 18 overlapping languages with a 12.2% average improvement, using $30\times$ less training data. A 22% reduction in total token count relative to the next-best competitor translates directly to inference cost savings.

The broader implication is that multilingual tokenization for low-resource scripts does not require English-first design. Two well-motivated constraints, applied at the right level of the training pipeline, are sufficient to rescue scripts that standard BPE obliterates. English compression is preserved at parity with the best baselines (fertility 1.21), confirming that Indic gains do not come at the cost of English regression.

Planned future work includes training 125M-parameter language models (GPT-2 architecture) with MATRA, GPT-5, Sarvam-105B, and Sutra-v2 tokenizers on identical corpora to validate that fertility

gains translate to improved perplexity and downstream task accuracy. Additional directions include extending the sentence-boundary constraint to handle informal text, scaling the training corpus from 0.3 GB to 10+ GB, evaluating vocabulary size scaling (128K vs. 200K vs. 256K), and measuring encoding throughput against tiktoken and SentencePiece.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the open-source communities behind HuggingFace tokenizers, SentencePiece, and the MUTANT evaluation framework for making reproducible evaluation possible.

References

- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Édouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2020.
- Taku Kudo. Subword regularization: Improving neural network translation models with multiple subword candidates. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2018.
- Taku Kudo and John Richardson. Sentencepiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, 2018.
- Yikai Liu, Qizhang Chen, Mengyuan Xu, Yinpeng Dong, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. Superbpe: Superword-level pretokenization for large language models. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Language Modeling (COLM)*, 2025.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI Technical Report*, 2019.
- Anmol Rana, Krupal Shah, Priyansh Gupta, Nisarg Patel, et al. MUTANT: Multi-script unsupervised tokenizer with adaptive normalization for Indic languages. In *Proceedings of the 64th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, 2026.
- Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2016.
- Jaspreet Singh and Daniel Strouse. Right-to-left digit grouping improves arithmetic reasoning in language models. *arXiv preprint*, 2024.

A Complexity Analysis

The training engine uses fixed-width integer arrays and doubly-linked index arrays for $O(1)$ splice operations. Merge positions are encoded as 64-bit integers packing sequence identifier and offset into a single machine word, reducing per-position memory by approximately $7\times$ compared to an equivalent tuple representation. The lazy allocation strategy defers linked-index initialization until the first merge touch, avoiding upfront allocation for untouched sequences.

The overall training complexity is $O(V \log V)$ for vocabulary size V , dominated by the max-heap operations. The two-pass corpus streaming reduces peak memory from tens of gigabytes to approximately 5–6 GB for a 300 MB corpus.

Table 5: Per-language fertility: Devanagari-script languages. Tokens per word (lower = better).

Tokenizer	Hi	Mr	Ne	Sa	Bn	As	Gu	Pa
GPT-5	1.78	2.62	2.12	4.07	2.47	2.80	2.37	2.69
Gemini 3.5 Flash	1.47	2.08	2.04	3.52	1.69	2.76	2.50	2.82
Gemma-4-31B	1.47	2.08	2.04	3.52	1.69	2.76	2.50	2.82
Sutra-v2	1.64	2.21	2.04	3.87	2.09	2.24	2.13	1.52
Sarvam-105B	1.47	2.08	2.04	3.52	1.69	2.76	2.06	1.72
Qwen-3.6-MoE	3.61	5.07	4.48	6.40	4.61	5.00	4.90	4.20
MATRA (ours)	1.02	1.55	1.51	2.89	1.30	1.68	1.52	1.23
vs. 2nd best	↑31%	↑25%	↑26%	↑18%	↑23%	↑39%	↑26%	↑19%

Table 6: Per-language fertility: Non-Devanagari and minority-script languages. Tokens per word (lower = better).

Tokenizer	Ta	Te	Kn	MI	Mni	Ur	Sd	Ks
GPT-5	3.33	3.56	3.29	3.87	2.21	1.51	1.82	1.61
Gemini 3.5 Flash	2.60	3.31	3.35	3.78	1.91	1.44	2.07	1.50
Gemma-4-31B	2.60	3.31	3.35	3.78	1.91	1.44	2.07	1.50
Sutra-v2	2.63	3.02	2.80	3.39	2.23	1.55	2.29	1.50
Sarvam-105B	2.60	2.99	2.73	3.45	1.91	1.44	2.07	1.42
Qwen-3.6-MoE	6.96	6.78	6.57	8.75	3.00	1.83	2.70	2.63
MATRA (ours)	1.91	2.22	2.03	2.44	1.91	1.17	1.46	1.20
vs. 2nd best	↑27%	↑26%	↑26%	–	=	↑19%	↑29%	↑21%

B Reproducibility Details

All code, training configurations, and evaluation scripts are available at the anonymous repository linked in the submission. The MUTANT evaluation set is publicly available at [krutrim-ai-labs/MUTANT](https://krutrim-ai-labs.github.io/MUTANT). The training corpus (10 GB curated multilingual text) will be released upon publication. Checkpointing is atomic and keyed by a configuration hash, enabling restart from any phase boundary.

The tokenizer is compatible with the standard HuggingFace tokenizers JSON format. Merges are stored as "token1 token2" pairs; vocabulary as {token_string: token_id} mappings. The encode/decode pipeline provides lossless round-trip fidelity: $\text{decode}(\text{encode}(x)) = x$ for any valid UTF-8 input x .

C Vocabulary Size Scaling

MATRA is trained at two vocabulary sizes, 128K and 200K. The fertility improvement from 128K to 200K follows a logarithmic law consistent with SuperBPE’s English results. At 128K, aggregate fertility is 1.91 with BPT 8.21. At 200K, aggregate fertility improves to 1.78 with BPT 9.10.

A Per-Language Fertility Comparison

Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8 report per-language fertility (tokens per word, lower is better) across all tokenizers on the MUTANT evaluation set. Bold marks the best value per column. ↑% shows relative improvement of MATRA over the second-best tokenizer.

B Per-Language Sequence Reduction

Tables 9, 10, and 11 report per-language sequence reduction relative to GPT-5 (fewer tokens = better). Positive values indicate the tokenizer uses fewer tokens than GPT-5.

Table 7: Per-language fertility: Remaining languages. Tokens per word (lower = better).

Tokenizer	Brx	Doi	Kok	Sa (Sant.)
GPT-5	3.23	1.83	2.79	13.57
Gemini 3.5 Flash	2.89	1.66	2.63	5.36
Gemma-4-31B	2.89	1.66	2.63	5.36
Sutra-v2	3.12	1.75	2.73	2.09
Sarvam-105B	2.88	1.65	2.65	2.01
Qwen-3.6-MoE	4.55	2.91	4.18	12.75
MATRA (ours)	2.12	1.39	2.42	2.72
vs. 2nd best	↑26%	↑16%	↑8%	–

Table 8: Per-language fertility: English baseline.

Tokenizer	En
GPT-5	1.34
Gemini 3.5 Flash	1.40
Gemma-4-31B	1.40
Sutra-v2	1.19
Sarvam-105B	1.40
Qwen-3.6-MoE	1.43
MATRA (ours)	1.15

C Delimiter-Spanning Token Counts

Table 9: Per-language sequence reduction vs. GPT-5 (Devanagari-script languages). Positive = fewer tokens.

Tokenizer	Hi	Mr	Ne	Sa	Bn	As	Gu	Pa
Gemini 3.5 Flash	+5.7%	+7.6%	+1.3%	+6.4%	+11.3%	+0.6%	−2.2%	−2.6%
Gemma-4-31B	+5.7%	+7.6%	+1.3%	+6.4%	+11.3%	+0.6%	−2.2%	−2.6%
Sutra-v2	+2.6%	+5.7%	+1.4%	+2.3%	+5.5%	+8.5%	+3.9%	+22.9%
Sarvam-105B	+5.7%	+7.6%	+1.3%	+6.4%	+11.3%	+0.6%	+5.1%	+18.9%
Qwen-3.6-MoE	−33.4%	−34.7%	−36.5%	−27.3%	−31.2%	−33.0%	−41.4%	−29.4%
MATRA (ours)	+30.5%	+25.8%	+21.7%	+27.7%	+25.1%	+23.2%	+24.6%	+40.3%

Table 10: Per-language sequence reduction vs. GPT-5 (Non-Devanagari languages). Positive = fewer tokens.

Tokenizer	Ta	Te	Kn	MI	Mni	Ur	Sd	Ks
Gemini 3.5 Flash	+7.6%	+3.0%	−0.7%	+0.9%	+5.3%	+1.6%	−5.3%	+2.0%
Gemma-4-31B	+7.6%	+3.0%	−0.7%	+0.9%	+5.3%	+1.6%	−5.3%	+2.0%
Sutra-v2	+7.3%	+6.5%	+5.9%	+4.5%	−0.3%	−1.0%	−10.0%	+2.0%
Sarvam-105B	+7.6%	+6.9%	+6.7%	+4.0%	+5.3%	+1.6%	−5.3%	+3.5%
Qwen-3.6-MoE	−38.0%	−39.0%	−39.6%	−45.7%	−13.7%	−7.1%	−18.9%	−18.9%
MATRA (ours)	+21.4%	+19.4%	+22.9%	+18.1%	+5.3%	+23.3%	+24.8%	+22.2%

Table 11: Per-language sequence reduction: Remaining languages and English.

Tokenizer	Brx	Doi	Kok	Sant.	En
Gemini 3.5 Flash	+1.8%	+1.7%	+2.3%	+95.1%	−2.6%
Gemma-4-31B	+1.8%	+1.7%	+2.3%	+95.1%	−2.6%
Sutra-v2	−3.4%	−0.8%	−1.0%	+97.0%	+5.5%
Sarvam-105B	+1.5%	+1.3%	+1.1%	+98.3%	−2.6%
Qwen-3.6-MoE	−28.1%	−19.8%	−25.3%	+0.7%	−6.8%
MATRA (ours)	+19.4%	+17.6%	+15.4%	+44.7%	+10.9%

Table 12: Delimiter-spanning tokens across vocabularies.

Tokenizer	Count	Examples
MUTANT	23	"hi. the", "delhi mumbai"
Sutra-v2	17	"word1. word2", "end!next"
MATRA	0	None (prohibited by construction)